McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP 1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART **OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP** Peter P. Chen (Bar No. 111426) 2 David Eiseman (Bar No. 114758) Bijal V. Vakil (Bar No. 192878) Albert P. Bedecarré (Bar No. 148178) 3150 Porter Drive 3 Carl G. Anderson (Bar No. 239927) Palo Alto, California 94304-1212 Emily C. O'Brien (Bar No. 240072) Telephone: 650.813.5000 4 50 California Street, 22nd Floor Facsimile: 650.813.5100 San Francisco, California 94111 pchen@mwe.com 5 Telephone: (415) 875-6600 bvakil@mwe.com Facsimile: (415) 875-6700 davideiseman@quinnemanuel.com Attorneys for Defendants 6 Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd. and albedecarre@quinnemanuel.com 7 carlanderson@quinnemanuel.com Panasonic Corporation of North America emilyobrien@quinnemanuel.com 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff 9 Siliconix incorporated 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 11 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 13 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION SILICONIX INCORPORATED, CASE NO. C 05-01507 WHA 14 Plaintiff, 15 STIPULATION AND | PROPOSED| ORDER TO DISMISS ALL CLAIMS AND v. COUNTERCLAIMS WITH PREJUDICE IN 16 DENSO CORPORATION and TD SCAN CASE NO. C 05-03617 WHA 17 (U.S.A.), INC., Defendant. Judge: The Honorable William H. Alsup 18 19 AND CONSOLIDATED ACTION 20 NO. C 05-03617 WHA. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Cas@ase55:00500150361/1114/HADobomentn1738 Filed 09/20/2006Pag@algef13of 3

1	<u>STIPULATION</u>			
2	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a) and Civil Local Rule 7-12, Plaintiff			
3	Siliconix incorporated ("Siliconix") on the one hand, and Defendants Matsushita Electric			
4	Industrial Co., Ltd. ("Matsushita") and Panasonic Corporation of North America ("Panasonic") on			
5	the other hand, through their respective counsel of record, stipulate as follows:			
6	1. All claims in Siliconix's Complaint for Patent Infringement, filed in Case			
7	No. C 05-03617 WHA on September 8, 2005, are dismissed with prejudice.			
8	2.	2. All counterclaims in Matsushita and Panasonic's Answer to Complaint and		
9	Counterclaims, filed in Case No. C 05-03617 WHA on November 23, 2005, are dismissed with			
10	prejudice.			
11	3.	Siliconix, Matsushita	a, and Panasonic shall bear their own costs and attorneys' fees	
12	in connection with their prosecution and defense of the above-referenced claims and			
13	counterclaims.			
14				
15	DATED: Sep	ptember 20, 2006	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP	
16			OLIVER & HEDGES, ELI	
17			By /s/ David Eiseman	
18			David Eiseman Attorneys for Plaintiff	
19			Siliconix incorporated.	
20	DATED: Sep	otember 20, 2006	McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP	
21				
22		By <u>/s/ Peter P. Chen</u> Peter P. Chen		
23			Attorneys for Defendants Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd. and	
24	Panasonic Corporation of North America			
25				
26				
27				
28				

Case 35:05:005:00/50361/1H/W/HAD obornement 1758 Filed 09/20/2000 Page 33 of 3

ORDER PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. IT IS SO ORDERED DATED: September $\underline{21}$, 2006 The Honorable William H. Alsup United States District Court Judge